

Justine M. Beaumont SC

Barrister-at-law, Nigel Bowen Chambers

PRACTICE OVERVIEW

Justine is a commercial silk practising nationally with a particular focus on intellectual property (including patents, copyright, trade marks, passing off and confidential information) and competition and consumer law.

Her expertise has been recognised in all the major legal directories including as a 'leading' silk for Intellectual Property in Doyles Guide and in 'Best Lawyers' in three categories: Competition Law, Intellectual Property Law and Telecommunications Law.

Justine has appeared for participants in a wide range of industries such as the media (including free-to-air and pay television, radio, print and online media), film, music, technology, telecommunications, pharmaceutical, manufacturing, consumer goods, real estate, food, beverage, sports and fitness industries, as well as for Government. She also has experience in substantial commercial arbitrations and advising major international corporations in Parliamentary Inquiries.

ADMISSIONS AND QUALIFICATIONS

2022	Appointed Senior Counsel
2008	Called to the Bar of New South Wales
1991	Admitted as a Solicitor of the Supreme Court of New South Wales and later, the High Court
1986-1990	BA LLB (Hons), University of Sydney

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

2008 - present	Barrister/ Senior Counsel, NSW
1992- 2008	Allens (formerly Allen Allen & Hemsley)
1991-2002	Reporter, Federal Court Reports (while working as a solicitor)
1991	Associate, Federal Court of Australia
1988/1989	Winter Clerkship, Linklaters & Paine, London

RECENT RECOMMENDATIONS AND RANKINGS

- Chambers Asia Pacific 2018- 2026 (Intellectual Property): *"Justine Beaumont SC operates across the full copyright, patent and trade mark spectrum and enjoys recognition as a prominent and active barrister in the IP space"* and is *"a particularly good cross-examiner."*
- Legal 500 Asia Pacific 2021-2026 (Intellectual Property): Justine is *"meticulously prepared and extremely smart"*; *"one of the top barristers in Australia in relation to copyright, trade marks and*

misleading or deceptive conduct claims. She is incredibly knowledgeable, thorough, pragmatic and a pleasure to work with. She is a senior counsel who will work with solicitors as part of a team, and understands the importance of managing client workloads and expectations.”

- Doyles Guide 2018 - 2025 (Intellectual Property and Technology, Media & Telecommunications): “leading”
- Best Lawyers 2020 -2026 - recognised in three categories: Competition Law, Intellectual Property Law and Telecommunications Law.

CURRENT PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS AND APPOINTMENTS

Member	Intellectual Property Association of Australia and New Zealand
Member	Copyright Society
Member	NSW Bar Association and its Professional Conduct Committee #1

SELECTED CASES

Trade marks

- *Self Care IP Holdings v Allergan Australia* [2023] HCA 8– appeared in the High Court (leading GR Rubagotti) as contradictor and *amiciae curiae* in a trade mark infringement case involving the BOTOX marks
- *The Agency Group v H.A.S. Real Estate* on appeal [2023] FCAFC 203 and first instance [2023] FCA 482 – trade mark infringement and misleading or deceptive conduct/ passing off claims
- *Delegat Limited v Comiskey Management Services Pty Ltd as Trustee for NewPub Family Holdings Trust* [2025] ATMO 158 –successful trade mark opposition for the owners of the OYSTER BAY wine trade mark
- *Giorgio Armani S.p.A v Arman's Fine Jewellery Pty Ltd* 2022 ATMO 198 – successful trade mark opposition for Armani
- *Cosmetic Warriors v Australian Therapeutic Supplies* 2021 ATMO 89 – part cancellation of a trade mark on the ground of non-use
- *Combe International v Dr August Wolff GmbH* (2021) 157 IPR 230; [2021] FCAFC 8 – appeal to the Full Court regarding sections 44 and 60 of the *Trade Marks Act 1995* and at first instance (2020) 149 IPR 1; [2020] FCA 39 and (*No 2*) [2020] FCA 730
- *Notaras v Barcelona* (2019) 138 IPR 304; [2019] FCA 4 and *Notaras v Barcelona (No 2)* [2019] FCA 617 - trade mark appeal
- *Liftshop v Easy Home Living Elevators* (2014) 106 IPR 419 (Full Court) and (2013) IPR 511 (first instance) – trade mark infringement/ contravention of s 18 ACL in the context of internet searches
- *E &J Gallo Winery v Lion Nathan Australia* (2009) 175 FCR 386; [2009] FCAFC 27- trade mark infringement/ non-use case

Copyright

- *Reference by Phonographic Performance Company of Australia (no 2)* [2025] ACopyT 3 – substantial Copyright Tribunal reference re the value of licences for the use by commercial radio stations of the copyright in sound recordings.
- *Roadshow Films Pty Limited v Telstra Corporation Limited (Extension of Orders)* [2023] FCA 1167 – statutory construction issues re s 115A of the *Copyright Act 1968*.
- *Reference by APRA AMCOS* [2022] ACopyT 1 and [2022] ACopyT 4 – Copyright Tribunal reference regarding the value and structure of licence fees for the use of the copyright in musical works in films and TV shows which are streamed online by major subscription video on demand services. Appeared for The Walt Disney Company (Aust) Pty Ltd
- *Boomerang Investments v Padgett* (2020) 383 ALR 202; [2020] FCA 535 - copyright infringement (appearing for the copyright owner and composers of the song 'Love is in the Air'); and associated applications: [2018] FCA 4, [2020] FCA 882, [2020] FCA 1413, [2021] FCA 385, [2021] FCA 1071 and [2021] FCA 1561
- *Foxtel Management v TPG Internet* [2019] FCA 1450 - site blocking orders under section 115A of the *Copyright Act 1968* (Cth) to address piracy in film and television
- *Foxtel Management v TPG Internet* [2018] FCA 933 - site blocking under section 115A of the *Copyright Act*
- *Foxtel Management v TPG Internet* (2017) 349 ALR 154; [2017] FCA 1041 –site blocking under section 115A of the *Copyright Act*
- *Commissioner of Taxation v Seven Network* [2017] HCA Trans 024 – meaning of 'royalty' in double tax treaty; cinematograph film copyright in relation to the live feed of Olympic Games television coverage
- *Re Phonographic Performance Company of Australia* [2016] ACopyT 2; [2015] ACopyT 3 – significant copyright reference in relation to reasonable royalties payable for sound recordings in radio simulcasts streamed on the internet (appeared for commercial radio)
- *Audio-Visual Copyright Society v Foxtel* [2012] ACOPYT 1 - valuation of copyright in underlying works used in retransmission of free-to-air broadcasts
- *Re Phonographic Performance Company of Australia Ltd* (2010) 87 IPR 148 - Fitness Australia copyright reference involving substantial economic and econometric evidence
- *Roadshow Films v iiNet (No. 2)* [2009] FCA 1391 – claims of authorisation of copyright infringement by ISPs (appearing for the Internet Industry Association)

Patents and Designs

- *Redflex Traffic Systems v Acusensus IP* [2025] FCA 1382 – strike out and amendment applications in a patent infringement and confidential information case

- *Abbey Laboratories v Virbac (Australia)* [2024] FCA 1488 – successfully resisted an application for an interlocutory injunction for patent infringement
- *Commonwealth v Sanofi (no 5)* [2020] FCA 543 – appeared for Apotex in the Commonwealth’s suit on an undertaking as to damages in relation to a failed patent suit against Apotex re the drug clopidogrel
- *Gram Engineering Pty Ltd v Bluescope Steel Ltd* [2018] FCA 539– infringement of registered design - damages
- *Zuru v Brand Developers* (2017) (Fed Court) – patent infringement/ invalidity dispute settled at trial
- *Sanofi-Aventis v Apotex* [2015] HCA Trans 300 – patent invalidity
- *Apotex v Sanofi-Aventis* (2009) 82 IPR 416 and on the Special Leave application - [2010] HCA Trans 59– patent infringement and invalidity
- *PAC Mining v Esco Corp* (2009) 80 IPR 1 – patent infringement and invalidity

Competition and Consumer Law

- *Invisalign Australia v SmileDirectClub* [2024] FCAC 26 (Full Court) and at trial [2023] FCA 395– comparative advertising/ misleading or deceptive conduct claims relating to orthodontic treatment
- *Brick Lane Brewing v Torquay Beverage Co* [2023] FCA 66- misleading or deceptive conduct claims regarding ‘get-up’ of beer
- *Lawton v FHMC* [2021] FCA 1165 -_interlocutory injunction and ancillary relief obtained to restrain breaches of contract and misleading or deceptive conduct
- *Australian Olympic Committee, Inc v Telstra* (2017) 258 FCR 104 and at first instance [2016] FCA 857 –first application under s 36 of the *Olympic Insignia Protection Act 1987* (Cth) /Australian Consumer Law (allegations of misleading advertising)
- *ACCC v MSY Technology (no 2)* (2011) 279 ALR 609; [2011] FCA 382 – trade practices/ breach of statutory warranties/ penalties
- *ACCC v Prouds Jewellers* [2008] FCAFC 199 - Part V, *Trade Practices Act*

General commercial/ equity

- *Advocates Online v Leonard & ors* (2025) – Supreme Court proceedings alleging breaches of confidence, contract and fiduciary duties
- *In the matter of Sunnya Pty Ltd* [2023] NSWSC 1286 – claims for breaches of directors’ duties including under the *Corporations Law*; claims under the principles in *Barnes v Addy*; amendment and joinder application
- *Maersk A/S v Patti* (2021-22)– Anton Piller (search) orders obtained in a shipping freight dispute in the Federal Court involving breach of contract, contraventions of the ACL and allegations of fraud

- *Jubilant Generics v Generic Partners* (2020-21) – interlocutory injunctions and *Norwich Pharmacal* orders obtained to protect confidential information and copyright
- *Merck KGaA v Merck Sharp & Dohme* (2019) 145 IPR 72; [2019] FCA 1084 – contractual dispute - determining the proper law of a contract - estoppel and abuse of process (part of an international contract and trade mark dispute)
- *Sheather v Staples Waste Removals* –breach of fiduciary duty/ equitable fraud re a domain name; on appeal [2014] FCA 84, [2014] FCA 330 and [2012] FCA 998 and, at first instance: *Staples Waste Removals v Arev Computer Centre (no 2)* [2012] FMCA 214
- *Westfield v AMP Capital Investors Limited as responsible entity for the KSC Trust* – Supreme Court of NSW Equity Division– acting for AMP in a breach of trust/ *Corporations Act* case, settled mid-trial

Public Law/ Regulatory

- *ASIC v Agrawal* (2024, Federal Court) – appeared for ASIC on an *ex parte* basis and on final hearing obtaining travel restraint orders pending investigations into the defendants’ potential contraventions of the *Corporations Act*.
- *Tertiary Education Quality & Standards Agency v Telstra & Ors* [2021] FCA 1202 – appearing for TEQSA in the first proceeding for site blocking injunctions under the new provisions of the *TEQSA Act 2011* which prohibit the provision of academic cheating services to students of Australian universities